Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary 10/008,394	Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
All Participants: Status of Application: (1) Victor K. Hwang. (3)		10/008,394	HUMMER, ROBERT G.
All Participants: (1) Victor K. Hwang. (2) Eric J. Groen. (3) (2) Eric J. Groen. (4) Date of Interview: 23 September 2005 Time: Type of Interview:		Examiner	Art Unit
(1) Victor K. Hwang. (2) Eric J. Groen. (4) Date of Interview: 23 September 2005 Time: Type of Interview:		Victor K. Hwang	3764
(2) Eric J. Groen. (4) Date of Interview: 23 September 2005 Time: Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: Claims discussed: 1, 12, 13 and 34 Prior art documents discussed: Marcheschi Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Authorization for examiner's amendment to correct typographical errors in claims 1, 12 and 13, and to further define claim 34 around Marcheschi. Part III. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview, since the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview, since the interview, since the interview, since the interview.	All Participants:	Status of Application	·
Type of Interview: 23 September 2005 Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: Claims discussed: 1, 12, 13 and 34 Prior art documents discussed: Marcheschi Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Authorization for examiner's amendment to correct typographical errors in claims 1, 12 and 13, and to further define claim 34 around Marcheschi. Part III. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview, since the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview, since the interview in the Notice of Allowability.	(1) Victor K. Hwang.	(3)	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: Claims discussed: 1, 12, 13 and 34 Prior art documents discussed: Marcheschi Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Authorization for examiner's amendment to correct typographical errors in claims 1, 12 and 13, and to further define claim 34 around Marcheschi. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview in the Notice of Allowability.	(2) Eric J. Groen.	(4)	
Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: Claims discussed: 1, 12, 13 and 34 Prior art documents discussed: Marcheschi Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Authorization for examiner's amendment to correct typographical errors in claims 1, 12 and 13, and to further define claim 34 around Marcheschi. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview in the Notice of Allowability.	Date of Interview: 23 September 2005	Time:	
Claims discussed: 1, 12, 13 and 34 Prior art documents discussed: Marcheschi Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Authorization for examiner's amendment to correct typographical errors in claims 1, 12 and 13, and to further define claim 34 around Marcheschi. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview in the Notice of Allowability.	☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No	ant's representative)	
Claims discussed: 1, 12, 13 and 34 Prior art documents discussed: Marcheschi Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Authorization for examiner's amendment to correct typographical errors in claims 1, 12 and 13, and to further define claim 34 around Marcheschi. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview of the interview in the Allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview in the Notice of Allowability.	Part I.		
 1, 12, 13 and 34 Prior art documents discussed: Marcheschi Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Authorization for examiner's amendment to correct typographical errors in claims 1, 12 and 13, and to further define claim 34 around Marcheschi. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview. 	Rejection(s) discussed:		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Authorization for examiner's amendment to correct typographical errors in claims 1, 12 and 13, and to further define claim 34 around Marcheschi. Part III. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview of the interview in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview in the Notice of Allowability.			
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Authorization for examiner's amendment to correct typographical errors in claims 1, 12 and 13, and to further define claim 34 around Marcheschi. Part III. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview of the interview in the Allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Authorization for examiner's amendment to correct typographical errors in claims 1, 12 and 13, and to further define claim 34 around Marcheschi. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview of the interview in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview in the Notice of Allowability.	Marcheschi		
 Authorization for examiner's amendment to correct typographical errors in claims 1, 12 and 13, and to further define claim 34 around Marcheschi. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview of the interview in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview. 	Part II.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview. 	Authorization for examiner's amendment to correct typographics		
directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substate of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview.	Part III.		
	directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate	ne examiner will provide a record of the substance o	written summary of the substance f the interview, since the interview
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•	•	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)	(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicar	nt/Applicant's Representati	ve Signature – if appropriate)